

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10149 of 2016

=====

Sri Subash Kumar son of Ramadhar Singh resident of Gopalpur, Police Station- Nokha, District- Rohtas, at present Mohalla- Kuraich Mahavir Asthan P.S. Sasaram T, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. Superintendent of Police, Rohtas, District Rohtas, at Dehri-On-Son, District- Rohtas.
3. Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sasaram Sub Division at Sasaram, District- Rohtas.
4. Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Sasara, Sub-Division at Sasaram, District- Rohtas. null null
5. Circle Officer, Sasaram Anchal, District Rohtas at Sasaram
6. Officer-in-charge, Sasaram T Police Station at Sasaram, District Rohtas.
7. Surajbans Mahto son of Late Mukhlal Mahto
8. Rajendra Mahto son of Late Mukhlal Mahto
9. Surendra Mahto son of Late Mukhlal Mahto
10. Pintu Mahto son of Rajendra Mahto
11. Mantu Mahto son of Surajbans Mahto
12. Ajay Singh son of Surajbans Mahto All are residents of Mohalla Kuraich, Mahabir Asthan, Sasaram, P.O. and P.S. Sasaram, District- Rohtas

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Surender Prasad Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Avinash Kumar, SC-30
For resp. nos. 11 &12	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

10 28-04-2025 Heard Mr. Surender Prasad Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Ashok Kumar representing respondent nos. 11 and 12 and Mr. Fazle Karim, learned AC to SC-1.

2. The present application has been filed:

for issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions



for Commanding the respondents to enforce the order dated 28.06.2013 passed in Land dispute Execution case no. 02/12-13 & order dated 17.02.2012 passed in case no.70/11-12 (Land Dispute) whereby the respondent no.5 & 6 were requested to make suitable arrangement within thirties day to ensure implementation of aforesaid order and grant any other relief or reliefs to which the petitioner is legally entitled.

3. At the outset, learned counsel representing the respondent nos. 11 and 12 has pointed to the counter affidavit, especially, paragraph 4 to show that a title suit no. 167 of 2007 is pending before the Court of learned Sub Judge-II, Sasaram relating to the same disputed land which has not come in the averments made by the petitioner in the writ petition.

4. Confronted with that, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be pursuing the legal remedy before the said Title Court.

5. Granting said liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

