

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3883 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-558 Year-2024 Thana- MAJHAULIA District- West Champaran

1. Ekabal Pandit @ Ram Ekabal Pandit @ Mangru Pandit Son of Ramkishun Pandit @ Kishun Pandit village- Paras Pakadi, PS- Majhauriya, Dist- West Champaran
2. Sushila Devi Wife of Ekabal Pandit @ Ram Ekabal Pandit @ Mangru Pandit village- Paras Pakadi, PS- Majhauriya, Dist- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sarvesh Kashyap, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binod Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 19-02-2025 1. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.
2. This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises out of Majhauriya PS case no. 558 of 2024, disclosing offences punishable under Section 109 and other allied sections of the B.N.S. Act.
3. The prosecution story, as per the First Information Report, is that accused Biku Pandit used to tease the informant's daughter. Upon questioning, the petitioners along with their sons assaulted the informant with lathi, danda, fists and slaps. Co-accused Biku Pandit assaulted the informant with dabia on his head, due to which, he sustained head injury. Petitioner no. 1



has allegedly dragged the informant on the ground and petitioner no. 2 snatched chain from the neck of the informant.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that both parties are neighbours and the present F.I.R. has been lodged due to some dispute between younger daughter of the informant and petitioners' son. Learned counsel further submits that during course of investigation, it has come to light that petitioners' son was having good relationship with the informant's daughter and due to some confusion between them, the present dispute has arisen. Referring to the injury report, learned counsel submits that injuries caused to the informant are simple in nature.

5. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and taking into consideration the fact that both parties are neighbours and there was some dispute between son of the petitioners and daughter of the informant and injuries caused to the informant are simple in nature, I am inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. This application is, accordingly, allowed.

7. Let petitioners, abovenamed, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten



thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional District & Sessions Judge-XI, Bettiah, West Champaran in connection with Majhauliya PS case no. 558 of 2024, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

rinkee/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

