

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1630 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-270 Year-2023 Thana- AMDABAD District- Katihar

Md. Kentulla @ Md. Kentul Son of Sabdar Ali Resident of Nayatola Chimni
Bhata, P.S. - Amdabad, District - Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kohinoor Kahtoon @ Kohinoor Wife of Md. Kentulla @ Md. Kentul,
Daughter of Ainul Haque Resident of Oksa Tal, P.S. - Amdabad, District -
Katihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Sushil Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Khurshid Anwar, APP
For Opposite Party No.2	:	N o n e

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 17-04-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Despite valid service of notice, nobody appears on
behalf of the Opposite Party No. 2.

3. The petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 498A, 504,
506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

4. The prosecution case, in brief, is that marriage of
informant was solemnized with this petitioner as per Muslim
rites and rituals. It is alleged that after marriage, all the accused
persons, including this petitioner, subjected complainant to
torture and harassment due to non-fulfillment of demand of



dowry.

5. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that petitioner happens to be husband of the informant and present case has been lodged due to petty family dispute. There is general and omnibus allegation of commission of assault against him. As a matter of fact, the petitioner at no point of time demanded any dowry or committed torture. It is further submitted that petitioner is ready to keep the informant, as his wife, with full honour and dignity. It is next submitted that the case is triable by the Magistrate. In this connection, petitioner has relied upon a judgment of this Court in the case of ***Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar***, reported in **2006(3) PLJR 182**.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

8. Accordingly, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Katihar in connection with Amdabad P.S. Case No. 270 of 2023, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

