

Court No. - 4

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 5559 of 2024

Petitioner :- Smt. Mithilesh Sharma

Respondent :- Union Of India Thru. General Manager Northern Railway Baroda House And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Shakti Kumar Sharma

Counsel for Respondent :- A.S.G.I.

Hon'ble Rajesh Singh Chauhan,J.

1. Heard Shri S.K. Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Varun Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri S.B. Pandey, learned counsel for Union of India.

2. By means of this petition, the petitioner has prayed following main relief(s):-

"[a] Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the opposite parties to decide the representation dated 25/06/2024 sent by the petitioner to the opposite parties accordance with law with considering the facts, reasons and circumstances therein, within stipulated time period/expeditiously.

[b] Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the opposite parties to give reasoned answer in writing that as to under what circumstances the financial benefits related to Ankit Sharma's job were to Premlata as stated in letter dated. 10.05.2024 by the opposite parties."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has made an innocuous prayer that a direction may be issued to the opposite party no. 2 i.e., Divisional Railway Manager, Northern Railway, Hazratganj, Lucknow to decide the representation of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the opposite party has no objection if a direction for disposal of the aforesaid representation is issued.

5. Therefore, without entering into merits of the issue, this writ petition is **disposed of finally** at the admission stage giving liberty to the petitioner to prefer a fresh representation to the opposite party no. 2 i.e., Divisional Railway Manager, Northern Railway, Hazratganj, Lucknow taking all pleas and grounds which are available to her enclosing therewith copies of relevant documents and her earlier representations which are necessary for disposal of the representation, within a period of 10 days from today and if such representation is preferred by the petitioner within aforesaid period, the authority concerned shall consider and dispose of the same strictly, by speaking and reasoned order in accordance with law after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, if it is so required, with expedition preferably, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and the decision thereof shall be intimated to the petitioner forthwith.

6. It is needless to say that after disposal of the aforesaid representation, if the petitioner feels aggrieved from any decision of the competent authority, she may approach the Central Administrative Tribunal strictly, in accordance with law.

Order Date :- 23.7.2024

Shravan

[Rajesh Singh Chauhan,J.]