

Court No. - 17

Case :- CONTEMPT APPLICATION (CIVIL) No. - 1971 of 2023

Applicant :- Pradeep Shukla And Others

Opposite Party :- Sri Anil Kumar Lahoti, Chairman, Railway Board, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi

Counsel for Applicant :- Shachindra Pratap Singh, Neeraj Kumar Rai

Counsel for Opposite Party :- Varun Pandey, Raj Kumar Singh

Hon'ble Manish Kumar, J.

Learned counsel for the applicants has submitted that the compliance affidavit filed on 10.09.2024 by the Respondent No.4 does not amount to compliance of judgement and order dated 01.03.2023 in its letter and spirit and disputed that benefit of ACP has not been granted to the applicants

On the other hand Sri Raj Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that the revised pay scale of Rs.1600 to 2660 (corresponding pay scale of Rs. 5000-8000) has been paid to the applicants w.e.f. 1.1.1996 till 31.10.2023 alongwith arrears.

It is further submitted that whatever pay protections due in favour of the applicants have also been paid to them, so the order has been complied in the letter and spirit and the present contempt application is liable to be dismissed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the applicants is unable to dispute the submission made by the learned counsel for the respondent and has submitted that the present contempt petition has become infructuous and prayed that the same may be dismissed as infructuous with liberty to the applicant that if they have still any grievance, they may challenge the same before the appropriate forum.

As prayed, the present contempt application/ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

The notice issued against the respondent is hereby discharged.

The applicant is at liberty to challenge if they have any grievance, as may be permissible under the law.

Order Date :- 21.10.2024

S. Kumar