HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 21450/2024

1. Villagers Of Gram Panchayat Prahaladpura, Through Their Authorized Representative Babu Ram S/o Ramu Ram, Aged About 59 Years Resident Of Chutaraniyo Ka Dhani Saran, Prahaladpura, Chamu Tehsil Osian, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
2. Deda Ram S/o Joga Ram, Aged About 64 Years, Resident Of Prahaladpura, Chamu Tehsil Osian, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
3. Sukha Ram S/o Amra Ram, Aged About 45 Years, Resident Of Chutaraniyo Ki Dhani Saran, Prahaladpura, Chamu, Tehsil Osian, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.).
2. The Registrar, Board Of Revenue, Ajmer Rajasthan.
3. The District Collector, Jodhpur (Raj.).
4. The Block Development Officer, Gram Panchayat Prahaladpura, Ps Chamu, Tehsil Sekhala, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sunil Joshi
For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Order (Oral)

19/12/2024

1. Petitioners herein are assailing a proposal dated 04.08.2023 (Annex.-2) vide which a resolution was passed regarding formation of new revenue village namely Ramgiri Nagar in the

pre-existing Gram Panchayat Prahaladpure, P.S. Chamu, District Jodhpur.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that qua the aforesaid grievance, the petitioners have also submitted a representation dated 05.07.2024 (Annex.-7) and they are sanguine that, in case their representation qua the grievance, which has been pleaded herein, is taken up on the administrative side, it will receive favourable treatment.

3. In view of the aforesaid statement, without commenting on the merits of the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents that the competent authority, on the administrative side, shall take up the case of the petitioner and decide the aforesaid pending representation by passing a speaking order in accordance with the guidelines dated 20.08.2009, if so warranted.

4. It is made clear that the direction to consider the representation shall not be construed as an expression of any opinion, in any manner.

5. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(ARUN MONGA),J

208-DhananjayS/-