HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19851/2024

Praveen Jatiya S/o Shri Shyam Lal Jatiya, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Jatiya Ki Gali Hali Thada Mohall Binota District Chittorgarh Rajasthan. Presently Posted As As Computer Operator (Smd) At Chc Binota Chittorgarh.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The Secretary, Medical And Health Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director (Public Health), Medical And Health Services, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur. Rajasthan.
3. The Rajasthan Medical Service Corproration Department, Through Its Chairman/ Managing Director, Gandhi Block, Swasthya Bhawan Tilak Marg, C Scheme Jaipur.
4. The Chief Office Medical And Health Officer, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
5. Block Medical Office, Medical And Health Officer, Block, Nimbahera District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
6. Medical Officer Incharge, Chc Binota Block Nimbahera District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sanjay Rajpurohit and Mr. Vipin Shekhawat
For Respondent(s)	:	-

JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

Order

04/12/2024

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would feel satisfied if a direction is issued to consider his representation in the light of judgment dated 20.03.2017 rendered by this Court in a bunch of writ petitions led by ***Pankaj***

Kumar Upadhyay & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.: S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 953/2017.

2. In view of the aforesaid, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file the representation within a period of four weeks from today.

3. In case, the representation is so addressed within the aforesaid period, the respondents shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law including the law laid down by this Court in the case of Pankaj Kumar Upadhyay (supra); however, in no case later than six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.

4. It is made clear that aforesaid direction to decide the representation has been issued only with a view to ensure expeditious redressal of petitioner’s grievance. The same may not be construed to be an order to decide the representation in a particular manner.

5. The stay application also stands disposed of accordingly.

(DINESH MEHTA),J

74-raksha/-