HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

(1) S.B. Writ Contempt No. 437/2020

Santu W/o Pankaj, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Soorsagar, Rajbagh Harijan Basti, Jodhpur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Bhawani Singh Detha, Secretary, Department Of Local Self Govt., Rajasthan, Jaipur
2. Ujjawal Rathore, Director, Local Self Government, Department Of Local Self, Rajasthan, Jaipur
3. Suresh Kumar Ola, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jodhpur

----Respondents

Connected With

(2) S.B. Writ Contempt No. 531/2020

Durga W/o Shri Daulat Ram, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Rajbaj Harijan Basti, Soorsagar, Jodhpur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Bhawani Singh Detha, Secretary, Department Of Local Self Govt., Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Ujjawal Rathore, Director, Local Self Government, Department Of Local Self, Rajasthan, Jaipur
3. Suresh Kumar Ola, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jodhpur
4. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Local Self Government, Raj. Jaipur

----Respondents

(3) S.B. Writ Contempt No. 542/2020

Daulat Ram S/o Shri Ram Chandra, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Rajbagh Harijan Basti, Soorsagar, Jodhpur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Shri Bhawani Singh Detha, Secretary, Department Of Local Self Govt. Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Shri Ujjawal Rathore, Director, Local Self Government,

Department Of Local Self, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. Shri Suresh Kumar Ola, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jodhpur.

4. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Local Self Government, Raj. Jaipur

----Respondents

(4) S.B. Writ Contempt No. 553/2020

Bhuri W/o Shri Jagdish, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Soorsagar, Rajbaj Harijan Basti, Jodhpur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Shri Bhawani Singh Detha, Secretary, Department Of Local Self Govt., Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Shri Ujjawal Rathore, Director, Local Self Government, Department Of Local Self, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. Shri Suresh Kumar Ola, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jodhpur.

4. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Local Self Government, Raj., Jaipur.

----Respondents

(5) S.B. Writ Contempt No. 560/2020

Rajkumar Bhati S/o Shri Babu Lal Bhati, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Sanjay B Colony, Pratap Nagar, Jodhpur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Shri Bhawani Singh Detha, Secretary, Department Of Local Self Govt., Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Shri Ujjawal Rathore, Director, Local Self Government, Department Of Local Self, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. Shri Suresh Kumar Ola, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jodhpur.

----Respondents

(6) S.B. Writ Contempt No. 592/2020

Kanta, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Sanjay B Colony, Pratap Nagar, Jodhpur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Shri Bhawani Singh Detha, Secretary, Department Of Local Self Govt., Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Shri Ujjawal Rathore, Director, Local Self Government, Department Of Local Self, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Shri Suresh Kumar Ola, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jodhpur.
4. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Local Self Government, Raj. Jaipur

----Respondents

(7) S.B. Writ Contempt No. 94/2021

Lalit S/o Mukesh, Aged About 21 Years, R/o Rajbag Harijan Basti, Soorsagar, Jodhpur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Shri Bhawani Singh Detha, Secretary, Department Of Local Self Govt., Rajasthan, Jaipur
2. Ujjawal Rathore, Director, Local Self Government, Department Of Local Self, Rajasthan, Jaipur
3. Suresh Kumar Ola, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jodhpur

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. D.L.R. Vyas

JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

Order

18/12/2024

1. By way of these contempt petitions, the petitioners have alleged non-compliance of the orders dated 21.01.2019 and 20.12.2018 passed by this Court in respective writ petitions filed by the petitioners.

2. Having regard to the nature of the orders dated 21.01.2019 and 20.12.2018 so also the orders passed in other contempt petitions, this Court has every reason to believe that the orders under consideration must have been complied with, as has been done in various other cases.

3. Mr. Vyas, learned counsel for the petitioners is not in a position to assert as to whether the compliance of the orders under consideration has been made or not.

4. It is to be noted that the contempt petitions were filed in July, 2020 and even cognizance against the respondents have not been taken.

5. Such being the position, the contempt petitions are disposed of, while giving petitioners a liberty to apply for revival of these contempt petitions, if any of the petitioners' grievance still survives.

(DINESH MEHTA),J

63-69-Mak/-