HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Misc. Appeal No. 682/2019

Nikhil Taneja S/o Shri Rajendra Taneja, Aged About 38 Years, B/c Arora, R/o Ward No. 13 (New Ward No. 18), Suratgarh Through His Father And Next Friend Rajendra Taneja S/o Shri Gulab Rai Taneja, B/c Arora, R/o Ward No. 13 (New Ward No. 18) Suratgarh, District Sriganganagar.

----Appellant

Versus

1. N. Suresh S/o Sh. Nansampa, B/c Hindu, R/o Village Badrawati Police Station New Town District Simohar (Karnataka) Second Address - At Present Driver No. 2604136211. Madras Regiment Cant Suratgarh C/o 56 Apo. (Driver Truck No. 05 D-163456-K-306)
2. Commandant 11 Madras Regiment, Suratgarh Can C/o 56 Apo.
3. Union Of India, Through Its Secretary Ministry Of Defense, New Delhi. (Owner Truck No. 05 D- 163459-K-306)

----Respondents

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Aakash Kukkar

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA BORANA

Order

20/03/2024

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that as per his instructions, the appellant has expired. He submits that despite written communication/notice sent through registered post, he has received no instructions. Learned counsel submits that the notice as served through registered post has been filed in the office. He therefore, pleads ‘No Instructions’.

2. Let the notice as filed by the counsel, be tagged with the present appeal.

3. In view of the fact that despite service of the notice sent by the counsel, no instructions have been received by him and the counsel has pleaded 'No Instructions', it seems that the appellant has lost interest in the present litigation.

4. In view of the same, the appeal is **dismissed** in non-prosecution.

(REKHA BORANA),J

78-T.Singh/-