HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 12498/2024

Rinkle Gupta W/o Nikhil Agrawal, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Jawahar Nagar, Kota, District Kota (Rajasthan).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajneesh Gupta, Adv., with Mr. Utkarsh Goyal, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rajendra Singh Shekhawat, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

16/12/2024

1. The instant pre-arrest bail application has been filed under Section 482 B.N.S.S. filed on behalf of the petitioner, who is having apprehension of arrest in FIR No.509/2024 registered at Police Station Kotwali Baran, District Baran for the offences under Sections 420, 409, 467, 468, 408, 379, 471, 201 and 120-B of IPC.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Learned counsel submits that vide order dated 04.11.2024, the petitioner was directed to join the investigation. Learned counsel further submits that in pursuance of direction issued by this Court, the petitioner has already jointed the investigation, which is evident from the investigation report submitted by the learned Public Prosecutor. Learned counsel contends that alleged *patta* was issued due to misrepresentation of the employees of concerned Municipal Corporation. The petitioner herself cancelled the said *patta* and also published a public notice to this fact. Learned counsel further

contends that the petitioner is a female and custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required in this matter.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioner.

4. I have considered the contentions.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by the counsel for the parties and especially the fact that the petitioner has already joined the investigation and alleged *patta* issued by the Municipal Corporation had already been cancelled, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, I deem it proper to allow the pre-arrest bail application.

6. The anticipatory bail application is allowed. The S.H.O/I.O/Arresting Officer, Police Station Kotwali Baran, District Baran (Raj.) in FIR No.509/2024 is directed that in the event of arrest of the petitioner - **Rinkle Gupta W/o Nikhil Agrawal**, she shall be released on bail, provided she furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- each to their satisfaction on the following conditions:-

> (i). that the petitioner shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
>
> (ii). that the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the court or any police officer, and
>
> (iii). that the petitioners shall not leave India without previous permission of the court.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

MADAN/259