



\$~8

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

RC.REV. 174/2016

SHAKTI SINGH RANA

..... Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

VINAY KUMAR MAHAJAN

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Shekhar Nanavaty, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

%

16.05.2024

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM APPL. 13755/2018 (U/O XXII R-10 & 22 R-3)

1. In view of circumstances mentioned in last order and also because for few dates none has been appearing for petitioner, coupled with the fact that according to learned counsel, possession of the subject premises already stands restored to the landlord, learned counsel seeks permission to withdraw this application, with liberty to move appropriate application in case situation so arises. Accordingly, the application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as sought.

CM APPL. 10041/2016 (delay in re-filing), CM APPL. 45204/2016 (additional facts) and RC.REV. 174/2016

2. Be awaited for petitioner.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

MAY 16, 2024/ry



3. Even in this call in post-lunch session, none appears on behalf of petitioner. After 12.12.2022 none has been appearing on behalf of petitioner. The petition as well as pending applications are dismissed in default.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

MAY 16, 2024/rk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)