



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4953/2017 & CRL.M.A. 19649/2017

KARAN LUTHRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajiv Dewan and Mr. Angad
Singh, Advs.

versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Anurag Ojha, Sr. SC with Ms.
Hemlata Rawat and Mr. Virendra
Kumar Saxena, Jr. SCs

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

09.05.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed against the impugned order dated 28.10.2017 whereby the revision preferred by the present petitioner against the order of summoning was dismissed.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was summoned to stand the trial for an offence under Section 277 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 read with Section 181 IPC in a complaint case filed by the Income Tax Department.
3. He submits that during the pendency of the present proceedings an order under Section 279(2) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 has been passed compounding the offence under Section 277 of the Income Tax Act, 1961. In support of his contention, the attention of the Court has been drawn to the order dated 03.03.2023 passed by the Director General of Income Tax (Inv.),



Delhi.

4. He further submits that the compounding charges of Rs. 93,66,800/- also stands deposited.
5. The above position is not disputed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent / Department.
6. In view of the above, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

MAY 9, 2024

N.S. ASWAL