



\$~11

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

RC.REV. 550/2017

SUBHASH CHAND BANSAL

..... Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

POONAM GUPTA & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Rajat Aneja and Shivangi Chawla,
Advts.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

%

18.03.2024

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

**CM APPL. 10527/2018 (direction) & CM APPL. 43781/2017 (stay) in
RC.REV. 550/2017**

1. By way of detailed order dated 16.05.2023, the predecessor bench had granted the respondent/landlord liberty to proceed with the execution of the impugned eviction order and for recovery of the use and occupation charges, arrears whereof are stated to be approximately Rs.43,20,000/-. It is further submitted by learned counsel for respondent that possession of the subject premises also stands restored to the respondent/landlord in execution proceedings. Apparently, that is the reason that for past three dates none has appeared for petitioner.
2. Considering the above circumstances, the petition as well as all pending applications are dismissed in default.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

MARCH 18, 2024/ry

Click here to check corrigendum, if any