



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CM(M) 1594/2018 & CM APPL. 54373-54374/2018**
SATYA DHARM MANDAL (REGD)Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhay Kumar with Mr. P C Jha,
Mr. Shailesh Kumar and Mr. Surender
Tyagi, Advocates.

versus

GAINDA RAM BILKHARespondent
Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **17.09.2024**

1. Petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that despite there being *status quo* order, there is alleged to be violation of the same.
2. This Court has also gone through the averments made in the plaint as well as in the application moved under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 read with Section 151 CPC.
3. After hearing arguments for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that, while reserving his rights and contentions, he does not press the present petition and submits that he would pursue with the substantive application moved under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 read with Section 151 CPC.
4. The present petition is accordingly disposed of as not pressed.
5. The plaintiff is at liberty to pursue the above said injunction application.



6. It is expected that learned Trial Court would dispose of the same in accordance with law after hearing both the parties, without getting influenced by any observation appearing in the present order.
7. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

MANOJ JAIN, J

SEPTEMBER 17, 2024/sw