



\$~49 to 51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 2280/2017

RAJEEEV ANAND

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Bhardwaj, Ms. Ankita M
Bhardwaj and Mr. Kaustubh Khanna,
Advs.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Insp.G.N.Tiwari, PS EOW.

50

+ BAIL APPLN. 2281/2017

SANDEEP ANAND

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Bhardwaj, Ms. Ankita M
Bhardwaj and Mr. Kaustubh Khanna,
Advs.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Insp.G.N.Tiwari, PS EOW.

51

+ BAIL APPLN. 2282/2017

SANJEEEV ANAND

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Bhardwaj, Ms. Ankita M
Bhardwaj and Mr. Kaustubh Khanna,
Advs.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent



Through: Insp.G.N.Tiwari, PS EOW.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

O R D E R

%

09.10.2024

CRL.M.A 30476/2024 in BAIL APPLN. 2280/2017

CRL.M.A 30269/2024 in BAIL APPLN. 2281/2017

CRL.M.A 30247/2024 in BAIL APPLN. 2282/2017

1. Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicants.
2. *Inspector G.N. Tiwari* is also present in Court and has been heard.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicants/petitioners submits that the order dated 07.08.2024, *vide* which the applicants/petitioners were granted bail, is required to be modified/clarified. He urges that the said modification is sought in view of various facts and circumstances such as the applicants/petitioners may be required to appear in Court, where the complainant may also appear. In addition, he submits that some of the witnesses are the complainants in some other cases as well.
4. Keeping in mind the nature of submissions made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicants/petitioners and also the fact that the applicants/petitioners may require to travel to various parts of the country because of their livelihood, this Court finds it necessary to modify Clause (a) of paragraph no.37 of the aforesaid order to the extent that the "*petitioners shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court*".
5. With respect to Clauses (e) and (g), the same are only clarified to the extent that the applicants/petitioners shall remain 100 meters away from the complainant and shall not try to contact the witnesses of the cases, unless the same is required in view of the appearance of the applicants/petitioners in



Court or if the contact is necessary for the Court proceedings.

6. With the aforesaid clarifications, the instant applications stand disposed of.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J
OCTOBER 9, 2024/MJ