



\$~10

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 8887/2015, CM APPL. 19937/2015 –Stay & CM APPL. 32379/2017 -Vac. of stay.

DELHI JAL BOARD (DJB)

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms.Sangeeta Bharti, SC, DJB with
Ms.Malvi Balyan, Adv.

versus

GIRDHARI LAL & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.A.K.Trivedi & Mr.Yash Jangra,
Advs. for R-1.

Mr.Anuj Aggarwal, ASC, GNCTD with
Ms.Arshya Singh, Mr.Yash Upadhyay &
Mr.Siddhant Dutt, Advs. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

30.04.2024

%

1. On the last date, this Court after noticing that the matter was being adjourned from time to time to await outcome of the SLP (Civil) No.30621/2011, had granted time to the learned counsel for the petitioner to obtain instructions as to why the present petition should be kept pending any further.
2. Today, she submits that the aforesaid SLP is still pending consideration. After some arguments, she concedes that the impugned order in itself directs that the relief granted to the respondents would remain subject to outcome of the aforesaid SLP.
3. In the light of the aforesaid, once the learned Central Administrative Tribunal has in itself made clear that promotion in terms of the



impugned order, if any, granted to the respondent would remain subject to outcome of the aforesaid SLP, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned order or to keep the petition pending any further.

4. The petition is, accordingly, disposed of by reiterating that promotion if granted to the respondents in terms of the impugned order will remain subject to outcome of SLP (Civil) No.30621/2011.

REKHA PALLI, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

APRIL 30, 2024

kk