



\$~86

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **RC.REV. 522/2018**

OM PRAKASH SHARMAPetitioner

Through: None.

versus

S RAVINDER PAL SINGHRespondent

Through: Mr. Nitin Sharma, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

% **16.12.2024**

1. None appears for the Petitioner. There was no appearance on the last date of hearing as well.

2. This Court on 02.12.2024 had recorded the following:

“2. The record shows that the possession of the subject premises has already been taken by the Respondent through execution proceedings. Since, the possession of the subject premises has been restored, nothing further survives in the present Petition and the Petition has become infructuous.

*3. In this regard, reliance is placed upon the judgment passed by this Court in **Ashok Gupta v. Deepak Rao**, which has relied upon the Judgments of the Supreme Court in **NC Daga v. Inder Mohan Singh Rana** and **Vinod Kumar Verma v. Manmohan Verma**. This Court is supported in its view by judgments passed by Coordinate Benches of this Court including **Om Prakash Ashok Kumar & Sons v. Ajay Khurana**, **Neelam Sharma v. Ekant Rekhan**, and **Bhawani Shankar v Nand Lal and Ors**.*

4. In addition, the Petitioner is adequately protected in terms of Section 19(1) of the Delhi Rent Control Act, 1958.”

3. The Petition is accordingly dismissed.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

DECEMBER 16, 2024/r

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)