



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 488/2018**

VIJAY LUTHRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Mr. Manish Chaudhary and Mr. Asish Kumar Sharma, Advocates

versus

SATISH ANAND

..... Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

29.02.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM APPL. 42407/2018 (stay)

1. As reflected from order dated 24.10.2019, possession of the subject premises already stands restored to the respondent/landlord.
2. Be awaited for counsel for both sides.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

FEBRUARY 29, 2024/rk

3. Even in this second call in post-lunch session none appears on behalf of respondent/landlord. Learned counsel for petitioner/tenant seeks permission to withdraw this petition as possession of the subject premises stood restored to the respondent/landlord as reflected from order dated



24.10.2019. Apparently, this is the reason that for past few dates none is appearing for respondent/landlord.

4. As requested, the petition and all pending applications are disposed of as withdrawn.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

FEBRUARY 29, 2024/rk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)