



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 580/2017**

SONA CHAWLA

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr.Sunny Arora, Advocate.**

versus

ASHWINI SEHGAL & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr.Avishkar Singhvi,
Mr.Azeem Samvel, Mr.Amit
mishra, Ms.Mitakshara Goyal,
Mr.Akhil Kulshreshtha,
Mr.Naved Ahmed, Advocates.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

ORDER

28.08.2024

%

1. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, the petitioner is seeking initiation of contempt proceedings for the alleged wilful disobedience of the directions contained in the order dated 31.03.2024 in WP(C) No.811/2011 passed by this Court.

2. Shorn of unnecessary details, this Court *vide* the aforesaid order quashed the impugned order, whereby the services of the petitioner were terminated, and accordingly, the petitioner was directed to be reinstated back in service, with full wages and all consequential benefits. It is also brought to the fore that the petitioner has since been retired on seeking VRS in July, 2022.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that she has not been paid the financial benefits of consequent to her reinstatement back in service till the date of VRS.



4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has alluded to the paragraph No.(29) of the writ petition, whereby the petitioner has claimed that out of a total amount of Rs.45 lacs which was in arrears for the period July, 2003 to November, 2008, she has been paid only Rs.27,15,258/- . It is submitted that the said calculation has been done by the respondent without sharing the details as to the parameters applied. A grievance has been made that the salary for the relevant period since the time she has been reinstated has not been worked out properly as also the financial benefits.

5. There is another grievance raised by the petitioner that her juniors in service were promoted during the relevant time and she was not even considered for notional promotion.

6. Having heard the learned counsels for the parties, although, this petition is pending for a very long time, it is directed that the petitioner shall make a representation to the respondents with regard to her pending claims in respect of her financial benefits and also the issue of consideration of her notional promotion as per the rules. After hearing the petitioner, a decision thereupon shall be taken by passing of a reasoned order within eight weeks from today.

7. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

AUGUST 28, 2024/VLD