



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 549/2017 and CM APPL. 4546/2019**

AMIT SINGHAL

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Mahipal Singh Rajput and
Mr. Harsh Rajput, Advs.**

versus

B.REDDY SHANKAR BABU & ANRRespondents

Through: **Mr. Tarveen Singh Nanda,
Standing Counsel for R-1
Mr. Shekhar Nanavaty and Mr.
Shubham Dhyani, Advs. for R-
2.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

ORDER

07.10.2024

%

1. Having heard the learned counsels for the parties and on perusal of the record, the petitioner is seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents/Delhi Cantonment Board for the alleged wilful disobedience of the directions of this Court dated 16.12.2016 in W.P.(C) No. 11494/2016, as also reneging on the undertaking given on behalf of respondent No.1 in the affidavit dated 15.12.2016.

2. During the course of the arguments, it is brought out that the respondent No.1 had taken appropriate action against the respondent No.2/owner/occupier of the property in question and an appeal was preferred under Section 340 of the Cantonment Act, 2006, which stood dismissed *vide* order dated 03.04.2019. Aggrieved thereof, the said order has been assailed in W.P.(C) No. 6374/2019 before this



Court, whereby the proposed action of respondent of No.1 for demolition of the property has been stayed *vide* order dated 30.05.2019. The said writ is still *sub-judice* before this Court.

3. In view of the above, there is no issue of wilful disobedience on the part of the respondent No.1 in complying with the directions of this Court. The present contempt petition is dismissed.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 07, 2024

sp