



\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.REV.P. 755/2016 and CRL.M.A. 18096/2016,
CRL.M.A.38199/2019, CRL.M.A. 3123/2020 &CRL.M.A. 3204/2020
KAMAAL AHMED MANI @ KAMLESH MANIPetitioner
Through: None.

versus

NAEEM AKHTARRespondent
Through: Ms. Gita Dhingra, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER
30.08.2024

%

1. No one appears on behalf of the petitioner. However, counsel for respondent points out that this petition has been filed assailing order dated 06th May 2016 passed by Metropolitan Magistrate in Complaint Case No. 152/4/13, proceedings under the DV Act filed by the respondent, *inter alia*, for maintenance.
2. Counsel for respondent, on instructions, states that the said proceedings have since been withdrawn from the Trial Court by the respondent/ wife and therefore, the petition itself is infructuous.
3. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of as being infructuous.
4. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

AUGUST 30, 2024/kd

Click here to check corrigendum, if any