



\$~2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

RC.REV. 213/2017

KRISHNA & ANR

..... Petitioners

Through: Counsel for petitioners (appearance
not given).

versus

ZAHIRUDDIN & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. J.H. Jafri and Mr Zamir Ahmad,
Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

01.04.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM APPL. 15973/2017 (stay)

1. As requested by learned counsel for petitioners, matter is passed over for payment of costs and arguments.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

APRIL 1, 2024/rk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

2. In this second call, counsel for petitioners has appeared with his client and has paid cost of Rs.10,000/- to the respondents.

3. As recorded on last date, possession of the subject premises was restored to the respondents/landlords way back in August, 2018. Despite that, the present proceedings remained on board. In view of judicial precedents in the cases titled as *Smt. Poonam Bangia vs. Harbhagwan*



Dass Chandiramani in RC. REV. 16/2021 & CM. APPL. 2959/2021, *N.C. Daga vs. Inder Mohan Singh Rana* in Appeal (civil) 831/2003, *Vinod Kumar Verma vs. Manmohan Verma & Anr.* in Civil Appeal Nos. 5220-5221/2008 & *L.S. Shanmuga Sundaram vs. P. Kunji Mohideen Kutty Haji* in C.R.P. (NPD) No. 157/ and C.M.P No. 7932/2022, the present petition has become infructuous and is accordingly disposed of. Pending application also accordingly stands disposed of.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

APRIL 1, 2024/ry

Click here to check corrigendum, if any