



\$~6

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 124/2016 & CM APPL. 30932/2016

REKHA SHARMA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr Umesh Mishra, Adv.

versus

SANJAY SHARMA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Rajesh Pathak, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

12.01.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. This appeal is directed against the judgment and decree dated 02.07.2016.
2. Mr Umesh Mishra, learned counsel, who appears on behalf of the appellant, says that the appellant had moved the Family Court with a petition under Section 12(1)(a) and Section 13(1)(ia) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 [in short, "Act"].
 - 2.1 The record also discloses that the respondent had filed a counter-claim under Section 13(1)(ia) and (ib) of the Act.
3. *Via* the impugned judgment, the marriage has been dissolved by allowing the counterclaim of the respondent.
4. Mr Mishra informs us that since then, the appellant has remarried.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 124/2016

page 1 of 2



5. According to us, the appeal has been rendered infructuous.
6. The appeal is, accordingly, closed.
7. Consequently, the pending application shall also stand closed.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

JANUARY 12, 2024

aj

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 124/2016

page 2 of 2