



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 201/2015**

VINAY KUMAR WALIA

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

RAJLI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Kunal Lakra, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

23.12.2024

%

1. None appears for the Petitioner despite the matter being called out twice.
2. A Coordinate Bench of this Court on 08.01.2024 has recorded that the possession of the subject premises already stands restored to the Respondent. Since, the possession of the subject premises has been restored, nothing further survives in the present Petition and the Petition has become infructuous.
3. In this regard, reliance is placed upon the judgment passed by this Court in *Ashok Gupta v. Deepak Rao*¹, which has relied upon the Judgments of the Supreme Court in *NC Daga v. Inder Mohan Singh Rana*² and *Vinod Kumar Verma v. Manmohan Verma*³. This Court is supported in its view by judgments passed by Coordinate Benches of this Court including

¹ 2024 SCC OnLine Del 7148

² (2003) 1 SCC 453



Om Prakash Ashok Kumar & Sons v. Ajay Khurana⁴, Neelam Sharma v. Ekant Rekhan⁵, and Bhawani Shankar v Nand Lal and Ors.⁶

4. In addition, the Petitioner is adequately protected in terms of Section 19(1) of the Delhi Rent Control Act, 1958.

5. Quite clearly, the Petitioner is also not interested in pursuing the Petition.

6. In view of the foregoing, the Petition is dismissed.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

DECEMBER 23, 2024/pa

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

³ Civil Appeal Nos. 5220-5221 of 2008 dated 19.08.2008

⁴ 2024 SCC OnLine Del 5228

⁵ 2019 SCC Online Del 6487

⁶ 2021 SCC OnLine Del 4284