



\$~28 to 31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7828/2018 & CM APPL. 29965/2018

MASTER VIKAS THROUGH MOTHER MALTIPetitioner

Through: Mr. Khagesh B. Jha and Ms. Sikha
Sharma Bagga, Advs.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Santosh Kr. Tripathi SC (Civil)
GNCTD with Mr. Utkarsh Singh, Mr.
Rishabh Srivastava, Advs.
Mr. Rakesh Kumar and Mr. Sunil
Advs. for UOI
Mr. Vivekanand Mishra, SPC for UOI
Ms. Hetu Arora Sethi, ASC GNCTD
and Mr. Arjun Basra, Advs.

29

+ W.P.(C) 7831/2018 & CM APPL. 29969/2018

MASTER CHINTU THROUGH FATHER SH. DEEPAK KUMAR
AND ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Khagesh B. Jha and Ms. Sikha
Sharma Bagga, Advs.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Santosh Kr. Tripathi SC (Civil)
GNCTD with Mr. Utkarsh Singh, Mr.
Rishabh Srivastava, Advs.
Ms. Hetu Arora Sethi, ASC GNCTD
and Mr. Arjun Basra, Advs.
Mr. Vivekanand Mishra, SPC for UOI

30



+ W.P.(C) 7832/2018 & CM APPL. 29971/2018

MASTER MUKESH THROUGH MOTHER SMT. GAYATRI DEVI
AND ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Khagesh B. Jha and Ms. Sikha
Sharma Bagga, Advs.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Santosh Kr. Tripathi SC (Civil)
GNCTD with Mr. Utkarsh Singh, Mr.
Rishabh Srivastava, Advs.
Ms. Hetu Arora Sethi, ASC GNCTD
and Mr. Arjun Basra, Advs.

31

+ W.P.(C) 7993/2018 & CM APPL. 30652/2018

RIDHAMA (MINOR) THROUGH FATHER RAM & ORS

....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Khagesh B. Jha and Ms. Sikha
Sharma Bagga, Advs.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Santosh Kr. Tripathi SC (Civil)
GNCTD with Mr. Utkarsh Singh, Mr.
Rishabh Srivastava, Advs.
Mr. Dev P. Bhardwaj, CGSC with
Mr. Ujjwal Chaudhary and Mr. Vishal
Sharma, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

26.09.2024



1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that during the pendency of the instant writ petitions, various steps have been taken by the Government and therefore, the substantial grievance of the petitioners stand mitigated.
2. In view of the aforesaid, the instant writ petitions have rendered infructuous and accordingly, the same are disposed of alongwith the pending applications. However, liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioners to re-agitate the issue in case, they have any further grievance.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

SEPTEMBER 26, 2024/MJ