



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 237/2017, CM APPL. 125/2018 & 124/2018**

SUMITI KUMAR GULGULIA Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

JYOTI JAIN Respondent

Through: Mr. Mukul Rao, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

30.04.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. On last four consecutive dates, none appeared for petitioner and same is the status today as well.
2. It is informed by learned counsel for respondent that possession of the subject premises already stands restored to the respondent in execution proceedings. In view of judicial precedents in cases titled: *Smt. Poonam Bangia vs Harbhagwan Dass Chandiramani* in RC. REV. 16/2021 & CM. APPL. 2959/2021; *N.C. Daga vs Inder Mohan Singh Rana* in Appeal (civil) 831/2003; *Vinod Kumar Verma vs Manmohan Verma & Anr.* in Civil Appeal Nos. 5220-5221/2008 and *L.S. Shanmuga Sundaram vs P. Kunji Mohideen Kutty Haji* in C.R.P. (NPD) No. 157/ and C.M.P No. 7932/2022, the petition is dismissed as infructuous. Accordingly, all pending applications stand disposed of.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

APRIL 30, 2024/rk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)