



\$~A-5 & 6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 482/2016

RAJ KUMAR MITTALAppellant

Through: None.

versus

SANTOSH JAINRespondent

Through: Mr. Anurag Jain, Adv.

6

+ RFA 634/2016

SANTOSH JAINAppellant

Through: Mr. Anurag Jain, Adv.

versus

RAJ KUMAR MITTALRespondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **21.03.2025**

CM APPL. 10484/2025 in RFA 482/2016 and CM APPL. 10529/2025 in RFA 634/2016

1. The instant applications have been filed on behalf of the respondent in *RFA 482/2016*, who is also the appellant in *RFA 634/2016*, seeking corrections in the appearance marked in the order dated 12.08.2024.
2. Accordingly, in the order dated 12.08.2024, let the appearance of Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate, be read as representing Raj Kumar Mittal, the appellant in *RFA 482/2016* and the respondent in *RFA 634/2016*. Additionally, the appearance of Mr. Ashok Gurnani, Mr. Anurag Jain, and



Mr. Abhishek, Advocates, be read as representing Mr. Santosh Kumar, the respondent in *RFA 482/2016* and the appellant in *RFA 634/2016*.

3. Let the Registry to do the needful.
4. The applications stand disposed of.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

MARCH 21, 2025

aks/mjo