



\$~20 to 22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3760/2018 & CRL.M.A. 28829/2018

YUM RESTAURANTS PVT. LTD Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sudhi Nandrajog, Sr.
Advocate alongwith Mr.
Aditya Singla, Mr. Ritvik
Saha, Mr. Anand Pandey
& Mr. Raghav Bakshi,
Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)
& ANR Respondents
Through: Ms. Shubhi Gupta, APP
for the State alongwith
Inspector Harpal Madan
(P.S. EOW).
Mr. Ashim Vachher & Mr.
Kunal Lakra & Ms. Saiba
Meher Rajpal, Advocates
for R-2.

+ CRL.M.C. 2689/2019 & CRL.M.A. 10757/2019

SAPPHIRE FOODS INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED.... Petitioner
Through: Mr. Pramod Kumar
Dubey, Senior Advocate
alongwith Mr. Dhiraj
Kumar Totala & Mr.
Raghav Chadha,
Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)
& ANR Respondents
Through: Ms. Shubhi Gupta, APP
for the State alongwith
Inspector Harpal Madan



(P.S. EOW).

Mr. Ashim Vachher & Mr.
Kunal Lakra & Ms. Saiba
Meher Rajpal, Advocates
for R-2.

+ CRL.M.C. 3267/2019 & CRL.M.A. 13446/2019

**SAMARA CAPITAL PARTNERS
FUND II LIMITED**

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pramod Kumar
Dubey, Senior Advocate
alongwith Mr. Dhiraj
Kumar Totala & Mr.
Raghav Chadha,
Advocates.

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Shubhi Gupta, APP
for the State alongwith
Inspector Harpal Madan
(P.S. EOW).
Mr. Ashim Vachher & Mr.
Kunal Lakra & Ms. Saiba
Meher Rajpal, Advocates
for R-2.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

ORDER
20.05.2024

%

1. It is not disputed that the investigating agency pursuant to the investigation have already filed the closure report under Section 173 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.
2. The learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, however, apprehends that the since the protest petition has already been filed, there is a possibility of coercive measures being taken.



3. It is, however, not disputed that, at this stage, no action or investigation is pending against the petitioners.
4. In view of the above, this Court does not consider it apposite to keep the present petitions pending.
5. The present petitions are therefore disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to approach, in future, in case any grievance remains.
6. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State also submits that since the State has not found any illegality therefore, the closure report has been filed in the present case.
7. He submits that the apprehension of the petitioners of any coercive measure is not correct.
8. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case and the learned Trial Court is directed to proceed in accordance with law without being influenced by any order passed by this Court.
9. All rights and contentions of the parties are reserved.
10. A copy of this order be placed in all the matters.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

MAY 20, 2024

'Aman'