



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 299/2018**

PREM NATH SINGLA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Sunil Kumar, Adv. through video
conferencing.

versus

ARUN SHARMA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Vaibhav Mohan Sharma, Adv.
through video conferencing.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

26.04.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

**CM APPL. 67374/2023 (seeking initiation of proceedings under Section
340 Cr.P.C) & RC.REV. 299/2018**

1. Possession of the subject premises was restored to the respondent way back in the year 2018. The matter has come before me for the first time. Learned counsel for petitioner seeks pass over to obtain instructions if petitioner wants to withdraw this petition with liberty to institute fresh Civil proceedings against the present respondent.

2. Be awaited.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

APRIL 26, 2024/ry



3. None has appeared in this second call at 03:40 pm. As recorded in pre-lunch sessions, possession of the subject premises stood restored to the respondent/landlord in execution proceedings way back in the year 2018. In view of judicial precedents in cases titled: *Smt. Poonam Bangia vs Harbhagwan Dass Chandiramani* in RC. REV. 16/2021 & CM. APPL. 2959/2021; *N.C. Daga vs Inder Mohan Singh Rana* in Appeal (civil) 831/2003; *Vinod Kumar Verma vs Manmohan Verma & Anr.* in Civil Appeal Nos. 5220-5221/2008 and *L.S. Shanmuga Sundaram vs P. Kunji Mohideen Kutty Haji* in C.R.P. (NPD) No. 157/ and C.M.P No. 7932/2022, the petition is dismissed as infructuous. Accordingly, all pending applications stand disposed of.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

APRIL 26, 2024/as

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)