



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 320/2018**

SUSHIL KUMAR JAIN & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: None.

versus

RAM CHANDRA SHARMA & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sonal Sinha, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

09.02.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM APPL. 28113/2018 (stay)

1. Learned counsel for respondents expresses anguish that on the very first date i.e., 18.07.2018 ex-parte stay was granted but thereafter, the matter either does not reach till end of the day or when it reaches, counsel for petitioner/tenant does not appear, so matter gets adjourned. I have examined the previous record.

2. Matter is passed-over.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

3. Even in second call in this post lunch session, none has appeared for petitioners, though counsel for respondents is present. On last date also none appeared for petitioners. Even earlier, appearance on behalf of



petitioners has been quite irregular ever since an order of stay on operation of the impugned eviction order was passed by this court.

4. Under these circumstances, the petition as well as all pending applications are dismissed in default.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

FEBRUARY 9, 2024/as

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)