



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4528/2016 & CM APPL. 18899/2016**

SURYA BHAN PANDEY

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

**THE DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION, GOVT. OF NCT, DELHI
& ANR**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naushad Ahmad Khan, Ms. Supriya Malik and Mr. Habib-Ur-Rehman, Advocates for R1 with Mr. Tanveer Alam, OSD(Lit.), Distt. South-East of Directorate of Education.

CORAM:

HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

27.09.2024

%

1. There is no appearance on behalf of the Petitioner. Even on the last date of hearing none was present to represent the Petitioner.
2. Moreover, Mr. Naushad Ahmed Khan, learned counsel for Respondent No.1 draws the attention of the Court to the relief clause of the writ petition, wherein the Petitioner has sought extension of one year service on re-employment up to the age of 62 years with arrears of salary since 01.02.2016 and submits that the Competent Authority had passed an order dated 29.08.2016, directing the school management to cancel the re-employment of the Petitioner on the ground that he is guilty of violation of Rule 123(a)(xi) & (xii) of Delhi School Education Act and Rules, 1973.

W.P.(C) 4528/2016

Page 1 of 2



3. The aforesaid order according to Mr. Khan has not been assailed by the Petitioner, though the order was passed in the year 2016.
4. Considering that the order canceling the re-employment is unassailed and the Petitioner is not present today, in my view, Petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the present matter.
5. Petition is accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution and in default. Pending application stands disposed of.

JYOTI SINGH, J

SEPTEMBER 27, 2024/jg

W.P.(C) 4528/2016

Page 2 of 2