



\$~17.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6447/2010 and CM APPL. 8403/2011**

BS MATHUR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Prashant Manchanda, Ms Nancy Shah, Ms Arani Mukherjee and Ms Haridas Medha Dilip, Advocates.

Versus

HIGH COURT OF DELHI AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Amit Gupta, Mr Shiv Verma and Ms Muskan Nagpal, Advocates for respondent No. 1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

29.01.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. The substantive prayers made in the writ petition read as follows:

“(a) Call for the records in the matter and issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ order or directions in the nature of mandamus setting aside/ quashing the impugned order No.2869/DHC-Gaz./G-1VI. E.2 (a)/2010 dated 31.08.2010; and

(b) grant all consequential benefits uptodate to the Petitioner;”

2. It is not in dispute that the petitioner superannuated upon reaching the age of 58 years.

3. In these circumstances, the above-captioned writ petition is closed.

W.P.(C) 6447/2010

Page 1 of 2



3.1 However, the Registry will make available case papers of the above-mentioned writ petition when arguments are heard in W.P.(C) 6261/2010 titled ***B.S.Mathur versus The High Court of Delhi & Anr.***

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

JANUARY 29, 2024/kd

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)

W.P.(C) 6447/2010

Page 2 of 2