



\$~51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6741/2018**

RAKESH KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr M.S. Akhtar, Ms Nidhi Thakur,
Ms M. Banazir Hasmi and Mr
Mayank Arora, Advocates for R-
1/LAC/L&B.
Ms Latika Malhotra, Advocate for
DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

01.05.2024

%

1. None appears for the petitioner.
2. None had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on the previous hearing as well. Since none was appearing on behalf of the petitioner on earlier occasion, a Court Notice was issued in terms of the order dated 07.02.2024. The Report of the Registry is that the notice stands served.
3. On the previous hearing (that is, on 22.04.2024) Mr Lalit Bhardwaj, learned counsel who was appearing in other similar matters had sought time to take instructions to represent the petitioner. He states that he did not receive any affirmative instructions from the petitioner.



4. In view of the above, it appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present petition. The petition is dismissed in default.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

MAY 01, 2024

RK