



\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6742/2018**

NARESH SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC with
Ms. Nidhi Thakur, Ms. M. Benazir
and Mr. Mayank Arora, Adv. for R-1
and 2.
Ms. Shobhana Takiar, SC with Mr.
Kuljeet Singh, Adv. for DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

01.05.2024

%

1. None appears on behalf of the petitioner.
2. None had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on the previous hearing as well.
3. On 07.02.2024, this Court had directed that Court notice be issued to the petitioner without filing the process fee as this Court was informed that the learned counsel who was appearing for the petitioner had expired. The report of the Registry is that the notice stands served.
4. On the previous hearing (that is, on 22.04.2024) Mr Lalit Bhardwaj, learned counsel who was appearing in other similar matters had sought time to take instructions. He states that he has not received any instructions.



5. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the petition.
The petition is dismissed in default.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

MAY 01, 2024/r