



\$~R-22

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 448/2009**

**SYED SADAKAT @ CHICO & ANR.**

.....Petitioners

Through: None.

versus

**CBI**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, SPP with Ms.  
Mishika Pandita, Advocate.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA**

**ORDER**

**23.08.2024**

%

1. The present petition under Section 397 read with Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. seeks the following prayers:

“It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that the orders dated 07/02/2009 passed by Sh. Sanjay Bansal and orders dated 09/04/2009 of taking cognizance and order dated 08/02/2009 of framing of charge for commission of offence 364A IPC and 120B IPC r/w 364/347/354/365/384/467/471 IPC passed by Sh. S.S. Rathi, Ld. ASJ, Delhi may kindly be set-aside and the petitioner be discharged for commission of offences U/s 364A IPC and 120B IPC r/w 364A/347/354/365/384/467/471 IPC.

It is therefore prayed that the case may kindly be ordered to be remanded back to the court of Magistrate for further trial, in the interest of justice.

Any other or further relief which this Hon'ble Court deem fit, proper and expedite may also be passed in favour of the petitioners.”

2. Learned SPP for the CBI submits that the present petition has become infructuous on account of the fact that the final judgment in the present case has been passed by Sh. Vimal Kumar Yadav, Ld. Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-



01, RACC, Delhi, whereby, *vide* the judgment dated 23.11.2019, the petitioners stand convicted. The copy of the aforesaid judgment has been handed over in Court and the same is taken on record.

3. In view of the above, the present petition has become infructuous and disposed of accordingly.

4. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**AMIT SHARMA, J**

**AUGUST 23, 2024/bsr**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*