



\$~R-18 to 35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 220/2008 & CM APPL. 8015/2008

HARDEV DOHIL & ANR.Appellants

Through: None.

versus

NAHAR INDUSTRIES LTD.Respondent

Through: None.

R-19

+ RFA 221/2008 & CM APPL. 8017/2008

HARBHAJAN KAUR & ANR.Appellants

Through: None.

versus

NAHAR INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES LTD.Respondent

Through: None.

R-20

+ RFA 222/2008 , CM APPL. 8019/2008

MANPREET SINGH & ANR.Appellants

Through: None.

versus

NAHAR INTERNATIONAL LTD.

....Respondent

Through: None.

R-21

+ RFA 223/2008 & CM APPL. 8021/2008



MAMTA SOHAL & ANR.

.....Appellants

Through: None.

versus

NAHAR EXPORTS LTD.

.....Respondent

Through: None.

R-22

+ RFA 224/2008 & CM APPL. 8023/2008

DEEPAK KUMAR & ANR.

.....Appellants

Through: None.

versus

NAHAR INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES LTD.

.....Respondent

Through: None.

R-23

+ RFA 225/2008 & CM APPL. 8025/2008

PREM RAKSHA DOHIL & ANR

.....Appellants

Through: None.

versus

NAHAR EXPORTS LIMITED

.....Respondent

Through: None.

R-24

+ RFA 226/2008 & CM APPL. 8027/2008

KANTA SOHAL & ANR.

.....Appellants

Through: None.

versus



NAHAR EXPORTS LTD.

Through: None.

.....Respondent

R-25

+ RFA 227/2008 & CM APPL. 8029/2008

PRADEEP KUMAR & ANR

Through: None.

.....Appellants

versus

NAHAR EXPORTS LIMITED

Through: None.

.....Respondent

R-26

+ RFA 228/2008 & CM APPL. 8031/2008

VEENA SOHAL & ANR.

Through: None.

.....Appellants

versus

NAHAR INTERNATIONAL LTD.

Through: None.

.....Respondent

R-27

+ RFA 229/2008 & CM APPL. 8033/2008

SHAKTI KUMAR JETIK & ANR.

Through: None.

.....Appellants

versus

NAHAR EXPORTS LTD.

Through: None.

.....Respondent

R-28



+ RFA 230/2008 & CM APPL. 8035/2008

SAROJ JETIK & ANR.

.....Appellants

Through: None.

versus

NAHAR INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES LTD.

.....Respondent

Through: None.

R-29

+ RFA 231/2008 & CM APPL. 8037/2008

MANAV SOHAL & ANR.

.....Appellants

Through: None.

versus

NAHAR INTERNATIONAL LTD.

.....Respondent

Through: None.

R-30

+ RFA 232/2008 & CM APPL. 8039/2008

MANINDER KAUR & ANR

.....Appellants

Through: None.

versus

NAHAR INTERNATIONAL LIMITED

.....Respondent

Through: None.

R-31

+ RFA 233/2008 & CM APPL. 8041/2008

UPASANA DOHIL & ANR.

.....Appellants

Through: None.



versus

NAHAR INTERNATIONAL LTD.
Through: None.

.....Respondent

R-32

+ RFA 234/2008 & CM APPL. 8043/2008
ANGEL DOHIL & ANR.
Through: None.

.....Appellants

versus

NAHAR EXPORTS LTD.
Through: None.

.....Respondent

R-33

+ RFA 235/2008 & CM APPL. 8045/2008
JABSIR KAUR & ANR.
Through: None.

.....Appellants

versus

NAHAR EXPORTS LTD.
Through: None.

.....Respondent

R-34

+ RFA 236/2008 & CM APPL. 8047/2008
RAJAN SOHAL & ANR
Through: None.

.....Appellants

versus

NAHAR EXPORTS LIMITED
Through: None.

.....Respondent



R-35

+ RFA 237/2008 & CM APPL. 8051/2008

RAKESH SOHAL & ANR

.....Appellants

Through: None.

versus

NAHAR INTERNATIONAL LIMITED

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

03.12.2024

%

1. None has appeared for the parties despite the matters being called out on various dates i.e., 05.11.2024, 11.11.2024, 18.11.2024 and 26.11.2024.
2. The daily cause list published on the website of this Court clearly reflects a note for advocates and litigants that regular matters mentioned in the cause list shall be taken up after the supplementary and advance list is over. The physical display Board of this Court as well as the virtual display Board also clearly reflects that regular matters are being called and taken up for hearing.
3. Despite aforesaid, it is disheartening to see that for the matters listed in the regular list, neither the advocates nor the litigants are appearing before this Court.
4. The Court cannot be oblivious of the fact that due to repeated listing of these cases, wherein, no one appears to pursue the matter, sufficient judicial time and resources are utilized which can effectively and



thoughtfully be used for the cases wherein pressing issues of indispensable importance are canvassed before this Court. Because of repeated listing of these cases, the other cases are not being called out, causing significant prejudice to the litigants.

5. Be that as it may, this is the fifth consecutive date when parties had not appeared to pursue this case.

6. Therefore, since none appeared on behalf of the parties, the instant appeals, along with the pending application(s), is dismissed for want of prosecution.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

DECEMBER 03, 2024/P