



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(CRL) 4029/2024, CRL.M.A. 38850/2024, CRL.M.A.
38851/2024

DEEPAK KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate with
petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for
State with Mr. Abhinav Kr. Arya, Ms.
Priyam Agarwal and Mr. Arjun
Sachdeva, Advocates alongwith ASI
Ravinder Singh, P.S. Burari.
Mr. Deepak Kandpal, Advocate for
respondent No.2 with respondent
No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

23.12.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 372/2013 registered under Section 354A IPC at P.S. Burari, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner made inappropriate comments to the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that apart from present petitioner his brother, namely, *Kuldeep* was also charge-sheeted



however, he has already been discharged by the Trial Court vide order dated 25.07.2024. He submits that respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case. He further submits that though the parties have compromised, however, since the State machinery has been put in motion and the allegations were of grave nature, some cost may be imposed upon the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and respondent No. 2 are known to each other and present FIR was registered due to some misunderstanding and with the intervention of family members and friends, parties have amicably settled their disputes vide MOU dated 17.12.2024, a copy of which has been placed on record.

5. Petitioner and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel respectively as well as the I.O. Petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has entered into the settlement with the petitioner out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of cost of Rs.10,000/- to be paid to respondent No.2/complainant by way of a demand draft through I.O. within four weeks.



9. In case the cost is not paid within the stipulated period, the IO shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application.
10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of with pending applications.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

DECEMBER 23, 2024

ga