



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 10095/2024 & CRL. MA 38740/2024**

VIVEK KUMAR WADHWAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Surendra Chaudhary, Advocate
with petitioner in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP fro State
with SI Gaurav Dalal PS Dwarka
Sector-23, New Delhi.

Mr. Vipul Gupta, Advocate for
respondent no.2 with respondent no.2
in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

23.12.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 200/2019 registered under Section 66E of the Information Technology Act, 2000 at Police Station Dwarka, Sector-23, New Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.

2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner circulated inappropriate pictures of the respondent No.2 amongst her friends on WhatsApp.

3. Mr. Shoaib Haider, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim in the present case. He states that the charge-sheet has been filed.



4. Learned counsel for the parties submits that the present FIR was registered due to misunderstanding and with the intervention of the elders, and well-wishers, the parties have amicably settled their disputes by way of an oral agreement on 15.10.2024. In terms of the said settlement, respondent No. 2/complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioner.

5. The petitioner and respondent no.2 who are present in person are identified by their counsel as well as the Investigating Officer/ SI Gaurav Dalal, PS Dwarka Sector-23, New Delhi.

6. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that she has entered into the aforementioned oral settlement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8 The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of cost of Rs.20,000/- by the petitioner with '*Avlamban Fund Scheme, 2024*,' a scheme formulated by GNCT of Delhi for survivor of acid attacks (Account No.43599660056 at State Bank of India, Tis Hazari Branch, Delhi; IFSC SBIN0000726 and MICR CODE110002126) within a period of four weeks from today.



10. Proof evidencing receipt of deposit shall be filed with the Investigating Officer.
11. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of, along with pending application.
12. In case proof of deposit of cost is not filed within two weeks, the IO shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application in this regard.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

DECEMBER 23, 2024/rd