



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 10051/2024

RAHUL SINGH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Lata Ray and Ms. Lalita,
Advocates along with petitioners in
person.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for State
along with SI Sanjay Bansal P.S.
Bhajanpura.

Mr. Karan Aggarwal, Mr. Aditya
Singhal, Ms. Neetu Singhal, Mr.
Naresh Kumar, Ms. Shweta Bansal
and Mr. Naveen Singhal, Advocates
for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **20.12.2024**

1. The present petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 0239/2016 registered under Sections 498-A/406/506/34 IPC at P.S. Bhajan Pura, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) and petitioner Nos. 2 to 5 are in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi on 08.08.2023. In terms of the settlement, the parties have



already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 26.02.2024 passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Courts, North-East, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi in HMA No. 214/24. It is further submitted that the entire settlement amount stands paid.

5. Petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their respective counsel as well as by IO/ SI Sanjay Bansal, P.S. Bhajanpura.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner No.1 out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

DECEMBER 20, 2024/ssc