



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 10025/2024, CRL.M.A. 38454/2024, CRL.M.A.
38455/2024

NEETA MANCHANDA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anindya Malhotra, Mr. Kartik
Wadhwa and Ms. Deepti Gulati,
Advocates with petitioner in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Manjeet Arya, APP for State with
Insp. Bhanu Pratap, P.S. Shalimar
Bagh.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

20.12.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 560/2020 registered under Sections 3(1)(r)(s) of SC & ST Act and Sections 506/509 IPC at P.S. Shalimar Bagh, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner made inappropriate caste based remarks against the complainant/respondent No.2.
3. Learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that apart from present petitioner, her son namely *Prithvik Manchanda* was also co-accused who has since expired on 04.10.2022. It is further submitted that respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and respondent No. 2 are known to each other being residents of same locality



and present FIR was registered due to some misunderstanding and with the intervention of family members and friends, parties have amicably settled their disputes vide MOU dated 18.11.2024, a copy of which has been placed on record.

5. Petitioner, who is present in Court, has been identified by her counsel as well as the I.O. Petitioner has shown remorse for her conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that keeping in view the age of petitioner being 67 years and an unconditional apology tendered by her as well as the fact that her son has expired, she has entered into the settlement with the petitioner out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith pending applications.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

DECEMBER 20, 2024

ga