



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 9973/2024 & CRL. MA 38194/2024**

JAI PRAKASH @ JAI HINDA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr R.C. Tiwari and Ms. Garima Sachdev, Advocates with petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI

THROUGH SHO PS NEB SARAI

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP fro State with SI Vineet Kumar PS Neb Sarai, Delhi.

Mr. Gourav Sharma, Advocate for respondent no.2 with respondent no.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

18.12.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 0293/2019 registered under Sections 341/500/506(2)/323/294 IPC at Police Station Neb Sarai, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner hurled abuses and threatened the complainant.
3. Mr. Shoaib Haider, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim in the present case.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present FIR was registered due to some misunderstanding and with the intervention of the elders, close relatives and well-wishers, the parties have amicably settled



their disputes vide Settlement Deed dated 13.12.2024, a copy whereof has been placed. In terms of the said settlement, respondent No. 2/complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioner.

5. The petitioner and respondent no.2 are present in the Court and are identified by their counsel as well as the Investigating Officer/ SI Vineet Kumar PS Neb Sarai, Delhi.

6. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and he undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that he has entered into the aforementioned settlement agreement out of his own free will, volition and without any coercion. He further states that he has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8 The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of along with pending application.

11. In case proof of deposit of cost is not filed within two weeks, the matter be placed before the Court.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

DECEMBER 18, 2024/*rd*