



\$~58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 9951/2024 and CRL.M.A. 38129/2024**

PUNEET PARVANDA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr.Anoop Verma, Mr.Sahil Vashist and
Mr.Vanshaj Verma, Advocates with petitioners in
person

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr.Shoaib Haider, APP for State with
SI Awanti

Mr.Lal Singh Thakur, Mr.Mehul, Mr.Ankush and
Mr.Sudhir T., Advocates for respondent No.2 with
respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

18.12.2024

%

1. By way of present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.256/2022 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Janak Puri, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos.2 and 3 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute on 15.02.2024 before The Mediation Centre, Dwarka Courts,



New Delhi. It is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 28.10.2024 passed by learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court Dwarka, New Delhi in HMA No.2759/2024. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.

5. Petitioner No.1, who is present in Court, states that the rights of their minor child, who is in the custody of respondent No.2, shall remain unaffected by the terms of the settlement arrived at between the parties. In acknowledgement of the said statement, petitioner No.1 and his counsel have signed the order sheet.

6. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.

7. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid mediation settlement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

8. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

9. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

10. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.



11. The petition is disposed of in the above terms alongwith the pending application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

DECEMBER 18, 2024

na