



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3941/2024**

SURAJ KUMAR AND OTHERSPetitioners

Through: Mr.V.K. Mahato, Advocate with
petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for
State with Mr.Abhinav Kr. Arya, Ms.Priyam and
Mr.Aryan, Advocates with SI Shankar
Mr.Abhay Gupta and Mr.Sahil Pandey, Advocates
for respondent No.2 with respondent No.2 in
person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **18.12.2024**

1. By way of present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.49/2024 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Dabri, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos.2 to 4 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim.



4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute on 01.02.2024 before the Counselling Cell, Family Courts, Dwarka, Delhi. It is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 12.09.2024 passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, South West, Dwarka, New Delhi in HMA No.2277/2024. It is further submitted that out of the total settlement amount, the balance amount of Rs.3,00,000/- is being paid today through demand draft bearing No.046662 dated 12.12.2024 drawn on Axis Bank to respondent No.2/complainant. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid mediation settlement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the



aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

DECEMBER 18, 2024

na