



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 9896/2024 and CRL.M.A. 37899/2024

NIKHIL DHINGRA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr.Abhishek Chaudhary, Advocate with
petitioners in person

versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANRRespondents

Through: Mr.Shoaib Haider, APP for State with
WSI N. Tiamenla

Mr.Sunil K. Mittal, Mr.Harsheit V and

Ms.Muskan, Advocates for respondent No.2 with
respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

17.12.2024

%

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.89/2023 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Geeta Colony, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and the petitioners wherein, petitioner No.1 is the husband and petitioner Nos.2 to 5 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case.



4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute vide Memorandum of Understanding/Settlement Deed dated 24.02.2024. In terms of the settlement, it is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 29.05.2024 passed by the learned Judge, Family Court-02, Karkardooma Courts, Shahdara, Delhi in HMA No.1028/2024. It is further submitted that out of the total settlement amount, the balance amount of Rs.4,00,000/- is being paid today through a demand draft bearing No.418265 dated 05.11.2024 drawn on Canara Bank to respondent No.2/complainant.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Memorandum of Understanding/Settlement Deed out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.



10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms alongwith the pending application.

DECEMBER 17, 2024
na

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J