



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **C.R.P. 379/2024, CM APPL. 73744/2024 (stay)**

CHARANJEET KAUR AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: Counsel for Petitioners (appearance
not given).

versus

HARDEEP SINGH SAFRI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Pankaj Seth, Advocate for R1.
Mr. Kundan Kumar Mishra and Mr.
Binish Kumar, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

17.12.2024

CM APPL.73745/2024 (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

C.R.P. 379/2024

3. The Revision Petition under Section 115 read with Section 151 of the *Code of Civil Procedure, 1908* ('CPC' hereinafter) has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners, for setting aside the Order dated 05.09.2024 *vide* which the right of the Petitioners, to file their Reply to the Application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC, has been closed and the matter is listed for arguments on the pending Application.
4. Learned counsel submits that the Petitioners are senior citizens, who



only understand Punjabi language. They have been appearing in the Court but are not aware of the proceedings, which are taking place in the Court.

5. Considering the fact that this Application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC, was filed in February, 2020, despite which no Reply has been filed till date, one opportunity is given to the Revisionist, to file their Reply to the Application, within seven days, subject to cost of Rs.25,000/- to be paid to the Respondent.

6. The Petition is disposed of accordingly along with the pending Application.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

DECEMBER 17, 2024/RS