



\$~79

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 4075/2024**

**STATION IN CHARGE , DURG RAILWAY
STATION ORS.**

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Siddharth Sinha, SPC with Mr.
Nring Chamwibo Zeliang, Ms. Anu
Priya Nisha Minz, Ms. Jyoti.
Fartiyal & Mr. Niranwan Marde,
Advs.

versus

JAMEEL AHMAD & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

%

12.12.2024

**CM APPL. 72955/2024 (Exemption) & CM APPL. 72956/2024
(Exemption)**

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Both the applications stand disposed of.

CM APPL. 72957/2024 (For filing lengthy synopsis)

For the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed.

Application stands disposed of.

CM(M) 4075/2024 & CM APPL. 72954/2024 (Stay)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India challenging the order dated 21.03.2024 passed by National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission [“NCDRC”] in



Revision Petition No.2083/2018.

2. Since the entire cause of action pertaining to the present subject matter has arisen within the jurisdiction of Chhattisgarh High Court, relying upon the judgment dated 04.03.2024 passed by the Supreme Court in the case of **Siddhartha S Mookerjee Vs. Madhab Chand Mitter [Civil Appeal No. 3915-16/2024]**, the learned counsel for petitioners prays that the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the jurisdictional High Court.

3. The Court has gone through the above referred order wherein the Supreme Court has very categorically observed that merely because NCDRC had allowed the petition, the jurisdiction would not vest with the Delhi High Court and observing that since the cause of action has arisen in Kolkata and the matter has been dealt with by the State Commission of West Bengal, it was held that the jurisdiction of the High Court of Kolkata should have been invoked.

4. This Court also, vide judgment dated 12.09.2024 passed in **General Manager, Punjab National Bank and Ors. Vs. Rohit Malhotra 2024 SCC Online Del. 6145** observed that in view of **Siddhartha S. Mookerjee (supra)**, any such petitioner should go to the 'Jurisdictional High Court'.

5. The petition accordingly stands disposed of as withdrawn and liberty, as prayed for, is granted.

6. It is however, made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion, whatsoever on the merits of the case.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

DECEMBER 12, 2024 /sk