



\$~54

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 9776/2024**

**PANKAJ ADHIKARI AND ORS. ....Petitioners**

Through: **Mr. AK Mehta, Ms. Pallavi, Adv.**

versus

**THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) AND ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP with  
W/SI Khushbu, PS Laxmi Nagar  
Mr. Rajendra Yadav, Mr. Aryaman  
Yadav, Adv.**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH**

**ORDER**

% **12.12.2024**

**CRL.M.A. 37493/2024**

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application is disposed of.

**CRL.M.C. 9776/2024**

1. This is a petition seeking quashing of FIR No. 569/2022 under Sections 498A/506 of IPC registered at PS Laxmi Nagar.
2. The parties have arrived at a settlement dated 31.03.2023 before Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, wherein a total sum of Rs. 20 lakhs was to be paid to respondent No. 2 as full and final settlement.
3. It is stated that the entire amount has been paid.
4. The petitioner is present in Court and is identified by Mr. AK Mehta, Adv. Respondent No. 2 is also present and is identified by Mr. Aryaman Yadav, Adv. and W/SI Khushbu, PS Laxmi Nagar.
5. Parties state that they have arrived at the settlement out of their own



free will without any threat, pressure, coercion or undue influence.

6. There is a minor child born out of the wedlock.

7. It is directed that in view of the judgment ***Ganesh v. Sudhir Kumar Shrivastava*** [(2020) 20 SCC 787], the settlement executed between the petitioners and respondent No. 2, and this order shall have no effect on the rights of child of the parties, who shall be free to avail all legal rights and remedies towards inheritance, maintenance, education, marriage expenses etc. against either of her parents.

8. Respondent No. 2 states that she has no objection to the quashing of the present FIR.

9. I am of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served in prosecuting the FIR any further on the ground that the parties have arrived at a voluntary settlement and settled their disputes.

10. I am convinced that quashing of such proceedings on account of compromise would bring about peace and would secure ends of justice. The Court does not see any fruitful purpose if criminal proceedings as permitted to continue any further. It is a fit case for quashing. In this view of the matter, there is no reason to continue the proceedings.

11. In view of the aforesaid, FIR No. 569/2022 under Sections 498A/506 IPC registered at PS Laxmi Nagar and consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

12. The petition is disposed of.

**JASMEET SINGH, J**

**DECEMBER 12, 2024/DM**

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)