



\$~80

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 16991/2024**

**SANJAY RAWAT**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ashok Kumar Sherawat,  
Advocate with petitioner in person

versus

**OBEROI FLIGHT SERVICES DELHI EIH LTD. & ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Narendra M. Sharma and Ms.  
Shubjangi Tiwari, Advocate for R1  
Mohd. Irsad ASC with Ms. Nasreen  
and Mr. Kunal Rai, Advocate for R2

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA**

**ORDER**

% **09.12.2024**

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

**CM APPL. 71926/2024 (exemption)**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

**W.P.(C) 16991/2024 & CM APPL. 71927/2024 (stay)**

2. The petitioner has sought issuance of mandamus to the respondents to repost and transfer him to his hometown at Delhi. Learned counsel for respondents at the outset submits that the writ petition is not maintainable against the respondent no. 1, which is a private entity. It is further pointed out by learned counsel that the petitioner has concealed vital facts and even otherwise, in Calcutta also, there are competent and rather much cheaper medical facilities for son of the petitioner.



3. After addressing partly, learned counsel for petitioner on instruction of his client present in the court room seeks permission to withdraw this petition with liberty to file fresh petition, disclosing complete facts.
4. The petition as well as accompanying application are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as sought.

**GIRISH KATHPALIA, J**

**DECEMBER 9, 2024/as**

*[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)*