



\$~86

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 16996/2024**

RAMDEV YADAV AND ANRPetitioners

Through: **Mr. N.K. Sahoo, Adv.**

versus

**NEW-DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
AND ORS**

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Sriharsha Peechara, SC
with Mr. Akshat Kulshreshtha
and Mr. D.S. Bhanu, Advs. for
NDMC.**

**Mrs. Anasuya Choudhury and
Mr. Abhinav Jha, Advs. for
UOI.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHWANT VARMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

ORDER

%

09.12.2024

CM APPL. 71933/2024 (Ex.)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 16996/2024

1. This writ petition has been preferred seeking the following reliefs:

“(a) To call for the copy of Writ Petition (Civil) No 4806/2024, 5408/2011& No 8131/2013 ;

(b)To issue a writ of mandamus or any appropriate writ or direction or order directing the respondents to maintain status quo ante for the place of squatting opposite /In front of Shop No 25 , Sarojini Nagar Market , Sarojini Nagar, New-Delhi-23 as on 07.11.2024 ;

(c) And to pass such other and further order or orders as this Hon’ble court deem fit and proper in the interest of Justice.”



2. We take note of the principal grievance which is directed against one Angad Singh, who is stated to have removed the petitioner from the place where he was carrying on his vending activities.

3. We, however, note that Angad Singh had approached this Court in **Angad Singh vs. New Delhi Municipal Council & Anr.** [W.P.(C) 4806/2024 dated 26 April 2024] and where the following directions came to be issued:

“3. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of by directing that the petitioner shall not be interdicted from carrying on vending activities at the site near Shop No. 25, Sarojini Nagar Market, New Delhi, subject to the petitioner carrying on the vending activities in accordance with law (including by occupying an area not more than 6’ X 4’ and open to the sky).

4. We clarify that this arrangement would only continue till the survey is completed and a vending plan is prepared.”

4. In view of the aforesaid and till such time as that order stands, it would not be possible for this Court to consider granting the reliefs which are prayed for.

5. Accordingly, while we dismiss this writ petition, we accord liberty to the writ petitioners to seek appropriate remedies in case they seek to question the direction in paragraph no. 3 as contained in our order of 26 April 2024.

YASHWANT VARMA, J.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

DECEMBER 09, 2024/DR