



\$~91

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3837/2024**

SH SURAJ DIWAKAR & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr.S.G. Goswami & Mr.Pavitra Veer
Singh, Advs.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms.Rupali Bandhopadhy, ASC (Crl.)
for State.
SI Nitin Kumar, PS Farsh Bazar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

% **09.12.2024**

CRL.M.A. 36922/2024

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 3837/2024

1. This is a writ petition seeking directions to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to provide police protection to the petitioners from respondent No. 4 and directions to respondent No. 4 and her associates not to interfere in the live-in relationship of the petitioners.
2. A perusal of the petition shows that respondent No. 4 is the legally wedded wife of petitioner No. 1, though divorce proceedings are pending.
3. The petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2 are in a live-in relationship and have also executed a live-in relationship bond. The petitioner No. 1 is



seeking to invoke the inherent power of this Court to issue directions to the police to restrain respondent No. 4 from interfering in the live-in relationship of the petitioner No.1.

4. The learned counsel has informed that the petitioner No. 1 has already filed a suit seeking injunctory relief in this regard.

5. This petition is not maintainable as there is no violation of any right of the petitioner which has been shown to have been violated by the respondent No. 4.

6. I am also of the view that police resources are scarce and cannot be used for handling matrimonial disputes between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 4, and the petitioners should avail appropriate legal remedy which is not filing of this petition.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J

DECEMBER 9, 2024/akc

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)